THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

–

WRIT APPEAL No.334 OF 2006

–

Dated: 08-06-2006

Between:

B.Samuel . . .Petitioner

And

Director General and Inspector General

Of Police, Hyderabad, and another . . .Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

–

WRIT APPEAL No.334 OF 2006

–

O R D E R: (per BPR, J)

Heard both sides and at their request, the main appeal itself is taken up for disposal.

This appeal is filed against the order, dated 03-02-2006, dismissing W.P.No.2082 of 2006 filed by the appellant *inter alia* seeking to set aside the letter, dated 30-12-2005, removing him from service with immediate effect.

The learned single Judge by taking into consideration the fact that the appellant/petitioner is 70 years old and is being continued even beyond the age of superannuation, did not accede to the request of the appellant.

Having considered the submissions and on perusing the material, we are of the view that though the appellant contends that similar such employees being continued in service beyond the age of superannuation, that itself cannot create any right in favour of the appellant to seek relief as sought for under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Hence, the Writ Appeal is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(B.PRAKASH RAO, J)

8th JUNE, 2006.

_____

(RAMESH RANGANATHAN, J)

kvni